

Anomalous diffusion limit¹ for kinetic equations with a thermostatted interface

Lorenzo Marino

Polish Academy of Sciences (IMPAN)

INdAM Meeting “Kolmogorov Operators and their Applications”
Cortona, 17 June 2022

¹Joint work with K. Bogdan (Wrocław University) and T. Komorowski (IMPAN)

The model: linear Boltzmann equation

We study the evolution of the phonon energy density $W(t, y, k)$ at time $t \geq 0$, as function of position $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and mode $k \in \mathbb{T} := [-1/2, 1/2]_{/\sim}$.

Outside the *interface* $\mathcal{I} := \{y = 0\}$, it reads

$$\text{(KE)} : \begin{cases} \partial_t W(t, y, k) + \omega'(k) \partial_y W(t, y, k) = \gamma L_k W(t, y, k); \\ W(0, y, k) = W_0(y, k); \end{cases}$$

where

- $\gamma > 0$ is the photon *scattering rate*;
- $W_0(y, k)$ is the initial distribution of the phonons energy;
- $\omega \in C^2(\mathbb{T}_*)$ even and unimodal, is the phonon *dispersion relation*;
- the *scattering operator* L_k , acting only on the variable k in \mathbb{T} , is given by

$$L_k u(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') [u(k') - u(k)] dk', \quad u \in B_b(\mathbb{T}), \quad (1)$$

for a *scattering kernel* $R: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The model: interface conditions

Fixed the *temperature preset* $T_o \geq 0$, let $p_+, p_-, p_0: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be three even, continuous functions such that:

$$\text{[BC]: } p_+(k) + p_-(k) + p_0(k) = 1.$$

Then, the density $W(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the interface conditions:

$$\text{(IC): } \begin{cases} u(0^+, k) = p_+(k)u(0^-, k) + p_-(k)u(0^+, -k) + p_0(k)T_o, & \text{on } \mathbb{T}_+; \\ u(0^-, k) = p_+(k)u(0^+, k) + p_-(k)u(0^-, -k) + p_0(k)T_o, & \text{on } \mathbb{T}_-. \end{cases}$$

Aim: choose the right scaling index $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$W_\lambda(t, y, k) := W(\lambda t, \lambda^{1/\alpha} y, k), \quad \lambda > 0;$$

satisfying the interface conditions (IC) and

$$\text{(rKE): } \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_t W_\lambda(t, y, k) + \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/\alpha}} \omega'(k) \partial_y W_\lambda(t, y, k) = \gamma L_k W_\lambda(t, y, k); \\ W_\lambda(0, y, k) = W_0(y, k), \end{cases}$$

admits the limit $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} W_\lambda$ and characterize the limit function \bar{W} .

Physical Motivation

Chains of anharmonic oscillators (e.g. FPU- β chains) are commonly used models in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics.

⚠ hard to study the macroscopic energy transport for this non-linear dynamics.

↪ replace the non-linearity with a random exchange of momenta between neighboring particles.

Komorowski-Olla 2020

Consider a one-dimensional infinite particle system $\{(p_y(t), q_y(t)) : y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ governed by the Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}(p, q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} p_y^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y, y' \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{y-y'} q_y(t) q_{y'}(t),$$

subject to a conservative stochastic exchange of momenta between adjacent particles and in contact with a Langevin thermostat at temperature T_o in \mathcal{I} .

↪ The interface model (KE) + (IC) arises, in the hyperbolic scaling limit of the Wigner distribution $W^{(\epsilon)}$ of the above system.

Related results: anomalous vs classical diffusive limits

From the particle system model, the total scattering degenerates at $k = 0$:

$$R(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') dk' \approx |k|^2.$$

Jara-Komorowski-Olla 2009

Without the interface, the limit $\bar{W}(t, y) := \lim_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}(t, y, k)$ exists (in a weak sense), where

- Optical case: $\omega(k) \approx |k|^2 \rightsquigarrow$ diffusive scaling ($\alpha = 2$) and

$$\partial_t \bar{W}(t, y) = \bar{\gamma} \partial_{yy}^2 \bar{W}(t, y);$$

- Acoustic case: $\omega(k) \approx |k| \rightsquigarrow$ super-diffusive scaling ($\alpha = 3/2$) and

$$\partial_t \bar{W}(t, y) = -\bar{\gamma} |\partial_{yy}^2|^{3/4} \bar{W}(t, y).$$

In both cases, homogenization in frequency happens at the limit:

$$\bar{W}(0, y) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_0(y, k) dk.$$

Related results: interface models

Similarly, the important feature is the behaviour of the random mechanism at \mathcal{I} for $|k| \ll 1$. Assume p_+^*, p_-^*, p_0^* strictly positive, where $\phi^* := \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \phi(k)$.

[BKO19]: In the optical case, the limit function $\bar{W}(t, y)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, y) = \bar{\gamma} \partial_{yy}^2 \bar{W}(t, y), & y \neq 0; \\ \bar{W}(0, y) = \bar{W}_0(y), & \bar{W}(t, 0) = T_o. \end{cases}$$

[KOR20]: In the acoustic case, the limit function $\bar{W}(t, y)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, y) = \bar{\gamma} \bar{L}_y \bar{W}(t, y), & y \neq 0; \\ \bar{W}(0, y) = \bar{W}_0(y); \end{cases}$$

where $q_\alpha(y) \approx |y|^{-(1+\alpha)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_y u(y) &:= \text{p.v.} \int_{yy' > 0} q_{\frac{3}{2}}(y' - y) [u(y') - u(y)] dy' \\ &+ \int_{yy' < 0} q_{\frac{3}{2}}(y' - y) \{ p_+^* [u(y') - u(y)] + p_-^* [u(-y') - u(y)] + p_0^* [T - u(y)] \} dy'. \end{aligned}$$

Our assumptions on the model

[SK]: there exist $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ and even, non-negative R_1, R_2 in $C^2(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$R(k, k') = R_1(k)R_2(k'), \quad R_j(k) \approx |k|^{\beta_j}, \quad j = 1, 2;$$

[I]: there exists $\beta_3 > 0$ such that

$$S(k) := \frac{|\omega'(k)|}{\gamma R_1(k)} \approx \frac{\cos(\pi k)}{|k|^{\beta_3}} \quad k \neq 0;$$

[ND]: the transmission at the interface does not degenerate on \mathbb{T} :

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{T}} p_+(k) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_+^* := \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} p_+(k) > 0;$$

[D]: the probability of absorption $p_0(k)$ has a logarithmic decay at 0:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} |\log k|^{\kappa} p_0(k) > 0;$$

[P]: We have that $\beta_1 \leq 1 + \beta_2$ and $\beta_3 < 1 + \beta_2 < 2\beta_3$:

$$\alpha := \frac{1 + \beta_2}{\beta_3} \in (1, 2).$$

Associated function spaces

In order to state our main result, we need to introduce the following functional space:

- the space \mathcal{C}_{T_ν} is composed by all the functions ϕ in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_* \times \mathbb{T}_*)$ satisfying (IC) and that can be continuously extended to $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_\nu \times \mathbb{T}_*$, for $\nu \in \{+, -\}$;
- the space \mathcal{H}_ν is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_*)$ under the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_\nu}$ given by

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_\nu} := \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{1/2}[u],$$

for any Borel function $u: \mathbb{R}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the expression is finite, with the following Dirichlet form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}[u] := & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u(y') - u(y))^2 q_\alpha(y' - y) (\mathbb{1}_{yy' > 0} + p_+^* \mathbb{1}_{yy' < 0}) dy dy' \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u(-y') - u(y))^2 q_\alpha(y' - y) p_-^* \mathbb{1}_{yy' < 0} dy dy'. \end{aligned}$$

Main result

Let W_0 be in \mathcal{C}_{T_o} such that $\bar{W}_0 - T_o \in \mathcal{H}_o$ and $\bar{W}_0 - T_\infty \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for some

$$T_\infty \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{W}_0(y) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_0(y, k) \frac{R_2(k)}{R_1(k)} dk.$$

Let $W_\lambda(t, y, k)$ be the classical solution to rescaled interface problem. Then,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \langle W_\lambda(t), F \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} = \langle \bar{W}(t), F \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}, \quad F \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}).$$

Moreover, the limit function \bar{W} is the weak solution to

$$\partial_t \bar{W}(t, y) = -\bar{\gamma} |\partial_{yy}^2|^\alpha \bar{W}(t, y)$$

$$(LE): \quad -\bar{\gamma} p_- \text{ p.v.} \int_{yy' > 0} q_\alpha(y' - y) [\bar{W}(t, y') - \bar{W}(t, -y')] dy'$$

with boundary conditions

$$\bar{W}(0, y) = \bar{W}_0(y); \quad \bar{W}(t, 0) = T_o.$$

Above, the fractional diffusion coefficient is given by

$$\bar{\gamma} := \frac{\gamma R_2^* S_*^{1+\alpha}}{S_*'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{R_2(k)}{R_1(k)} dk \right)^{-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \tau^\alpha e^{-\tau} d\tau.$$

Sketch of the proof I

Probabilistic interpretation

- (rKE) is the Kolmogorov equation of processes $\{K_\lambda(t, k), Y_\lambda(t, y, k)\}_{t \geq 0}$;
- Add interface conditions to processes $\rightsquigarrow \{K_\lambda^o(t, k), Y_\lambda^o(t, y, k)\}_{t \geq 0}$;
- Similarly, (LE) is the Kolmogorov equation of a jump process $\{\eta^o(t, y)\}_{t \geq 0}$;

As λ goes to $+\infty$, the processes $\{Y_\lambda^o(t, y, k)\}_\lambda$ converge in finite distributions and M_1 -weakly to $\eta^o(t, y)$.

Weak convergence of solutions

The solution W_λ of (rKE)+(IC) L^2 -converges to the weak solution \bar{W} to (LE), exploiting that:

$$W_\lambda(t, y, k) = \mathbb{E}[W_0(Y_\lambda^o(t, y, k), K_\lambda^o(t, k))];$$

$$\bar{W}(t, y) = \mathbb{E}[\bar{W}_0(\eta^o(t, y))].$$

- the function $t \rightarrow \|W_\lambda(t)\|_{L^2_\pi(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}$ is non-increasing;
- for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|W_\lambda(\delta) - \bar{W}_0\|_{L^2_\pi(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} < \varepsilon.$$

Sketch of the proof II: Construction of the processes

- $K_0(k) = k$ and $\{K_n(k)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ i.i.d. r.v. on \mathbb{T} such that $K_1(k) \sim R_2(k)dk$;
- the process $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}(t, k)$ as the linear interpolation between the values of

$$\mathfrak{X}_n(k) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\gamma R_1(K_j(k)))^{-1} \tau_j, \quad \{\tau_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ i.i.d. such that } \tau_0 \sim \exp(1);$$

- the process $\tilde{Z}(t, y, k)$ as the linear interpolation of $Z_{N(t)}(y, k)$, where

$$Z_n(y, k) := y - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega'(K_j(k)) (\gamma R_1(K_j(k)))^{-1} \tau_j, \quad N(t) \sim \text{Pois}_t(1)$$

- Letting $\mathcal{S}(t, k) := \tilde{N}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(t, k))$, we have $K(t, k) = K_{[\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(t, k)]}(k)$ and

$$Y(t, y, k) := \tilde{Z}(\mathcal{S}(t, k), y, k) = y - \int_0^t \omega'(K(s, k)) ds.$$

Sketch of the proof II: Construction of the processes

- $K_0(k) = k$ and $\{K_n(k)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ i.i.d. r.v. on \mathbb{T} such that $K_1(k) \sim R_2(k)dk$;
- the process $\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_\lambda(t, k)$ as the linear interpolation between the values of

$$\mathfrak{T}_n^\lambda(k) := \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\gamma R_1(K_j(k)))^{-1} \tau_j, \quad \{\tau_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ i.i.d. such that } \tau_0 \sim \exp(1);$$

- the process $\tilde{Z}_\lambda(t, y, k)$ as the linear interpolation of $Z_{N(\lambda t)}^\lambda(y, k)$, where

$$Z_n^\lambda(y, k) := y - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega'(K_j(k)) (\gamma R_1(K_j(k)))^{-1} \tau_j, \quad N(t) \sim \text{Pois}_t(1)$$

- Letting $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(t, k) := \tilde{N}_\lambda^{-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_\lambda^{-1}(t, k))$, we have $K_\lambda(t, k) = K_{[\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_\lambda^{-1}(t, k)]}(k)$ and

$$Y_\lambda(t, y, k) := \tilde{Z}_\lambda(\mathcal{S}_\lambda(t, k), y, k) = y - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/\alpha-1}} \int_0^t \omega'(K_\lambda(s, k)) ds.$$

Sketch of the proof II: Construction of the processes

- a sequence of stopping times as $n_0 := 0$ and then

$$n_{m+1} := \inf \{n > n_m : (-1)^m Z_n(y, k) < 0\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- a sequence $\{\sigma_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{\pm 1, 0\}$ -valued r.v. that are independent when conditioned on $\{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_m = \iota | \{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}) = p_\iota(K_{n_m-1}(k)), \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm 1\}.$$

- Then,

$$Z_n^\circ(y, k) := \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j \right) Z_n(y, k), \quad \text{for } n_m \leq n \leq n_{m+1}.$$

- A similar construction holds for processes $Y^\circ(t, y, k)$, $\tilde{Z}^\circ(t, y, k)$ and $K^\circ(s, k)$.
- Introducing scaling $\lambda > 0$ and $\theta := \mathbb{E}[(\gamma R_1(K_1(k)))^{-1}]$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, t_*]} |S(t) - t/\theta| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Sketch of the proof II: Construction of the processes

- a sequence of stopping times as $n_0^\lambda := 0$ and then

$$n_{m+1}^\lambda := \inf \{ n > n_m^\lambda : (-1)^m Z_n^\lambda(y, k) < 0 \}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- a sequence $\{\sigma_m^\lambda\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{\pm 1, 0\}$ -valued r.v. that are independent when conditioned on $\{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_m^\lambda = \iota | \{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}) = p_\iota(K_{n_m^\lambda - 1}(k)), \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm 1\}.$$

- Then,

$$Z_n^{0, \lambda}(y, k) := \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j^\lambda \right) Z_n^\lambda(y, k), \quad \text{for } n_m^\lambda \leq n \leq n_{m+1}^\lambda.$$

- A similar construction holds for processes $Y_\lambda^\circ(t, y, k)$, $\tilde{Z}_\lambda^\circ(t, y, k)$ and $K_\lambda^\circ(s, k)$.
- Introducing scaling $\lambda > 0$ and $\theta := \mathbb{E}[(\gamma R_1(K_1(k)))^{-1}]$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, t_*]} |\mathcal{S}_\lambda(t) - t/\theta| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Sketch of the proof III: Semigroup analysis

The weak convergence of $\tilde{Z}_\lambda^\circ(t, y, k)$ to $\zeta^\circ(t, y) := \eta^\circ(\theta t, y)$ follows from:

Let us consider the corresponding Markov semigroups:

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^{\circ, \lambda} u(y) &:= \mathbb{E}[u(\tilde{Z}_\lambda^\circ(t, y, k)), t < \mathfrak{s}_{y, f}^\lambda]; \\ P_t^\circ u(y) &:= \mathbb{E}[u(\zeta^\circ(t, y)), t < \mathfrak{t}_{y, f}]. \end{aligned}$$

As λ tends to $+\infty$, the semigroups $\{P_t^{\circ, \lambda}\}_{\lambda > 0}$ are strongly L^2 -convergent, uniformly on compact time intervals, to P_t° .

⚠ The process $Z_\lambda^\circ(t, y, k)$ it is actually not Markovian but it is possible to construct a Markov process $\{\hat{Z}^\circ(t, y)\}_{t \geq 0}$ that is “close” to it, in the sense:

$$\mathbb{P}(yZ_1^\lambda(y, k) < 0) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{|y|\lambda^{1/\alpha}}{|S(k)|} \right\},$$

where $Z_1^\lambda(y, k)$ is the particle position at the time of the first jump, starting in y .

Sketch of the proof IV: Dirichlet forms

To show the L^2 -convergence of the semigroups, we rely on the corresponding Dirichlet forms:

$$\mathcal{E}^o[u] := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [u(y) - P_t^o u(y)] u(y) dy \approx \hat{\mathcal{E}}[u].$$

Mosco convergence for Dirichlet forms

A family of Dirichlet forms \mathcal{E}_λ is M -convergent to a Dirichlet form \mathcal{E}_∞ , as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$, if for any $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

- for any $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ weakly convergent to u in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it holds that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_\lambda[u_\lambda] \geq \mathcal{E}_\infty[u]$$

- there exists $\{v_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ strong convergent to u in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_\lambda[v_\lambda] \leq \mathcal{E}_\infty[u].$$

[M94]: The Dirichlet forms \mathcal{E}_λ M -converge to \mathcal{E}_∞ if and only if the associated Markov semigroups strongly L^2 -converges, uniformly on compact intervals.

Review Papers

- [**BBJKO16**]: G. Basile, C. Bernardin, M. Jara, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Thermal conductivity in harmonic lattices with random collisions in Thermal transport in low dimensions*, Springer, 2016;
- [**KO21**]: T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Thermal Boundaries in Kinetic and Hydrodynamic Limits*, in *Recent Advances in Kinetic Equations and Applications*, Springer, 2021.

Kinetic limit for stochastically perturbed harmonic chains

- [**BOS10**]: G. Basile, S. Olla, H. Spohn, *Energy transport in stochastically perturbed lattice dynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 2010;
- [**KO20**]: T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Kinetic limit for a chain of harmonic oscillators with a point Langevin thermostat*, J. Funct. Anal., 2020;
- [**KORS20**]: T. Komorowski, S. Olla, L. Ryzhik, H. Spohn, *High frequency limit for a chain of harmonic oscillators with a point Langevin thermostat*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 2020;

Diffusive limit for linear phonon Boltzmann models

- [**BB10**]: G. Basile, A. Bovier, *Convergence of a kinetic equation to a fractional diffusion equation*, Markov Proc. Rel. Fields, 2010.
- [**BK22**]: A. Bobrowski, T. Komorowski, *Diffusion approximation for a simple kinetic model with asymmetric interface*, J. Evol. Equ., 2022.
- [**BKM?**]: K. Bogdan, T. Komorowski, L. Marino, *Anomalous diffusion limit for a kinetic equation with a thermostatted interface*, in preparation, ?.
- [**BKO19**]: G. Basile, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Diffusion limit for a kinetic equation with a thermostatted interface*, Kinet. Relat. Models, 2019.
- [**JKO09**]: M. Jara, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Limit theorems for additive functionals of a Markov chain*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 2009.
- [**KOR20**]: T. Komorowski, S. Olla, L. Ryzhik, *Fractional diffusion limit for a kinetic equation with an interface*, Ann. Probab., 2020.
- [**M94**]: U. Mosco, *Composite media and asymptotic Dirichlet forms*, J. Funct. Anal., 2020

Thank you for your attention!