

Kolmogorov operators on noncompact metric graphs

Abdelaziz Rhandi
(University of Salerno)

"Kolmogorov Operators and their Applications", Cortona
13-17 June, 2022
A joint work with Delio Mugnolo (Univ. Hagen).

Metric graphs:

Metric graphs:

Consider countable sets I, J and $(a_j)_{j \in J} \subset [0, \infty]$. Define

Metric graphs:

Consider countable sets I, J and $(a_j)_{j \in J} \subset [0, \infty]$. Define

$$\mathcal{E} := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} [0, \infty) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j \in J} [0, a_j].$$

Metric graphs:

Consider countable sets I, J and $(a_j)_{j \in J} \subset [0, \infty]$. Define

$$\mathcal{E} := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} [0, \infty) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j \in J} [0, a_j].$$

$$\mathcal{V} := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \{0\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j \in J} \{0, a_j\}.$$

On \mathcal{E} define the metric

$$d_{\mathcal{E}}((x, i), (y, k)) := \begin{cases} |x - y|, & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } x, y \in [0, a_i] \text{ (or } [0, \infty)), \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Metric graphs:

Consider countable sets I, J and $(a_j)_{j \in J} \subset [0, \infty]$. Define

$$\mathcal{E} := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} [0, \infty) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j \in J} [0, a_j].$$

$$\mathcal{V} := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \{0\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j \in J} \{0, a_j\}.$$

On \mathcal{E} define the metric

$$d_{\mathcal{E}}((x, i), (y, k)) := \begin{cases} |x - y|, & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } x, y \in [0, a_i] \text{ (or } [0, \infty)), \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Equivalence relation: $(x, i) \sim (y, k)$ iff either $x = y$ and $i = k$ or else $x = y = 0$ regardless of i, k .

Metric graphs:

Consider countable sets I, J and $(a_j)_{j \in J} \subset [0, \infty]$. Define

$$\mathcal{E} := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} [0, \infty) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j \in J} [0, a_j].$$

$$\mathcal{V} := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \{0\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j \in J} \{0, a_j\}.$$

On \mathcal{E} define the metric

$$d_{\mathcal{E}}((x, i), (y, k)) := \begin{cases} |x - y|, & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } x, y \in [0, a_i] \text{ (or } [0, \infty)), \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Equivalence relation: $(x, i) \sim (y, k)$ iff either $x = y$ and $i = k$ or else $x = y = 0$ regardless of i, k .

A metric graph is $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{E} / \sim$.

Second-order elliptic operators on metric graphs:

Second-order elliptic operators on metric graphs:

The theory of second-order differential operators on compact metric graphs of the form $\mathcal{G}_c := \bigsqcup_{j \in J} [0, a_j] / \sim$:

Second-order elliptic operators on metric graphs:

The theory of second-order differential operators on compact metric graphs of the form $\mathcal{G}_c := \bigsqcup_{j \in J} [0, a_j] / \sim$:

- ▶ G. Lumer in 1979 and Pavlov and Faddeev in 1983.

Second-order elliptic operators on metric graphs:

The theory of second-order differential operators on compact metric graphs of the form $\mathcal{G}_c := \bigsqcup_{j \in J} [0, a_j] / \sim$:

- ▶ G. Lumer in 1979 and Pavlov and Faddeev in 1983.
- ▶ J.P. Roth in 1983: Explicit formula of the heat semigroup generated by Δ with continuity and Kirchhoff-type boundary conditions.

Second-order elliptic operators on metric graphs:

The theory of second-order differential operators on compact metric graphs of the form $\mathcal{G}_c := \bigsqcup_{j \in J} [0, a_j] / \sim$:

- ▶ G. Lumer in 1979 and Pavlov and Faddeev in 1983.
- ▶ J.P. Roth in 1983: Explicit formula of the heat semigroup generated by Δ with continuity and Kirchhoff-type boundary conditions.
- ▶ S. Nicaise in 1984 extended the results of Roth, see also C. Cattaneo in 1999 and many others...

Kolmogorov operators on star graphs

Kolmogorov operators on star graphs

Consider

$$\mathcal{S}_m := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, \infty) / \sim.$$

Kolmogorov operators on star graphs

Consider

$$\mathcal{S}_m := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, \infty) / \sim.$$

Notations: $0 := (0, i)$ for all i , $x_i := (x, i)$ and $|x_i| := x$ whenever $x \geq 0$.

Kolmogorov operators on star graphs

Consider

$$\mathcal{S}_m := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, \infty) / \sim.$$

Notations: $0 := (0, i)$ for all i , $x_i := (x, i)$ and $|x_i| := x$ whenever $x \geq 0$.

On $C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$,

Kolmogorov operators on star graphs

Consider

$$\mathcal{S}_m := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, \infty) / \sim.$$

Notations: $0 := (0, i)$ for all i , $x_i := (x, i)$ and $|x_i| := x$ whenever $x \geq 0$.

On $C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$,

$$Lf(x_i) = q(|x_i|)f''(x_i) + b(|x_i|)f'(x_i) + c(|x_i|)f(x_i), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

Kolmogorov operators on star graphs

Consider

$$\mathcal{S}_m := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, \infty) / \sim.$$

Notations: $0 := (0, i)$ for all i , $x_i := (x, i)$ and $|x_i| := x$ whenever $x \geq 0$.

On $C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$,

$$Lf(x_i) = a(|x_i|)f''(x_i) + b(|x_i|)f'(x_i) + c(|x_i|)f(x_i), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

with

$$D(L) = \{f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} \widetilde{W}_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_m) : \sum_{i=1}^m f'(0_i) = 0, Lf \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)\},$$

where

$$\widetilde{W}_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\mathcal{S}_m) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^m W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

The associated Cauchy problem

The associated Cauchy problem

Aim 1:

The associated Cauchy problem

Aim 1:

Existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to

The associated Cauchy problem

Aim 1:

Existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, \cdot) = Lu(t, \cdot), & t > 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = f(\cdot), \end{cases} \quad (P_L)$$

The associated Cauchy problem

Aim 1:

Existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, \cdot) = Lu(t, \cdot), & t > 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = f(\cdot), \end{cases} \quad (P_L)$$

Assumptions:

$q, b, c \in C_{\text{loc}}^\alpha([0, \infty))$, $b(0) = 0$, $q(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in [0, \infty)$ and $\sup c \leq c_0$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Existence of classical solutions

Existence of classical solutions

Main idea: Compare (P_L) with $(P_{\tilde{L}})$, where

Existence of classical solutions

Main idea: Compare (P_L) with $(P_{\tilde{L}})$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}f(x) &= \tilde{q}(x)f''(x) + \tilde{b}(x)f'(x) + \tilde{c}(x)f(x) \\ D(\tilde{L}) &= \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}) : \tilde{L}f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})\},\end{aligned}$$

Existence of classical solutions

Main idea: Compare (P_L) with $(P_{\tilde{L}})$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}f(x) &= \tilde{q}(x)f''(x) + \tilde{b}(x)f'(x) + \tilde{c}(x)f(x) \\ D(\tilde{L}) &= \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}) : \tilde{L}f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{q}(x) &= q(x), \quad \tilde{b}(x) = b(x), \quad \tilde{c}(x) = c(x) \text{ if } x \geq 0 \text{ and} \\ \tilde{q}(x) &= q(-x), \quad \tilde{b}(x) = -b(-x), \quad \tilde{c}(x) = c(-x) \text{ if } x \leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Existence of classical solutions

Existence of classical solutions

Important remark:

Every $f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$ uniquely determines m functions $\tilde{f}_i \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$

$$\tilde{f}_i(x) := \begin{cases} f(x_i), & |x_i| = x, \quad \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ \frac{2}{m} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} f(-x_j) - f(-x_i), & |x_i| = -x, \quad \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Existence of classical solutions

Important remark:

Every $f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$ uniquely determines m functions $\tilde{f}_i \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$

$$\tilde{f}_i(x) := \begin{cases} f(x_i), & |x_i| = x, \quad \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ \frac{2}{m} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} f(-x_j) - f(-x_i), & |x_i| = -x, \quad \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

There is a unique classical solution

$$u_i^n \in C([0, \infty) \times (-n, n)) \cap C_{loc}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2+\alpha}((0, \infty) \times [-n, n]),$$

$1 \leq i \leq m$, to the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

Existence of classical solutions

Important remark:

Every $f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$ uniquely determines m functions $\tilde{f}_i \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$

$$\tilde{f}_i(x) := \begin{cases} f(x_i), & |x_i| = x, \quad \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ \frac{2}{m} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} f(-x_j) - f(-x_i), & |x_i| = -x, \quad \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

There is a unique classical solution

$u_i^n \in C([0, \infty) \times (-n, n)) \cap C_{loc}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2+\alpha}((0, \infty) \times [-n, n])$,
 $1 \leq i \leq m$, to the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_i^n(t, \cdot) = \tilde{L}u_i^n(t, \cdot), & t > 0, \\ u_i^n(t, -n) = u_i^n(t, n) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u_i^n(0, x) = \tilde{f}_i(x), & x \in (-n, n). \end{cases}$$

Existence of classical solutions

Existence of classical solutions

One proves

$$\hat{u}^n(t, x_i) := u_i^n(t, |x_i|), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

is the classical solution of problem (P_L) on $\mathcal{S}_m^n := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, n] / \sim$.

Existence of classical solutions

One proves

$$\hat{u}^n(t, x_i) := u_i^n(t, |x_i|), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

is the classical solution of problem (P_L) on $\mathcal{S}_m^n := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, n] / \sim$.
Since one knows

$$T(t)\tilde{f}_i(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^n(t, x)$$

exists for $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to

$C([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2+\alpha}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ and is a classical solution $(P_{\tilde{L}})$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ with initial data \tilde{f}_i ,

Existence of classical solutions

One proves

$$\hat{u}^n(t, x_i) := u_i^n(t, |x_i|), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

is the classical solution of problem (P_L) on $\mathcal{S}_m^n := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, n] / \sim$.
Since one knows

$$T(t)\tilde{f}_i(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^n(t, x)$$

exists for $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to

$C([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2+\alpha}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ and is a classical solution $(P_{\tilde{L}})$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ with initial data \tilde{f}_i ,

one deduces that

Existence of classical solutions

One proves

$$\hat{u}^n(t, x_i) := u_i^n(t, |x_i|), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

is the classical solution of problem (P_L) on $\mathcal{S}_m^n := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m [0, n] / \sim$.
Since one knows

$$T(t)\tilde{f}_i(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^n(t, x)$$

exists for $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to

$C([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2+\alpha}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ and is a classical solution $(P_{\tilde{L}})$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ with initial data \tilde{f}_i ,

one deduces that $T_m(t)f(x_i) := T(t)\tilde{f}_i(|x_i|)$ is a classical solution to (P_L) .

Heat kernel

Heat kernel

Since

$$T(t)f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(t, x, y)f(y) dy, \quad f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

it follows that (using the definition of \tilde{f}_i)

Heat kernel

Since

$$T(t)f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(t, x, y)f(y) dy, \quad f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

it follows that (using the definition of \tilde{f}_i)

$$\begin{aligned} T_m(t)f(x_i) &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+, i)} (k(t, |x_i|, |y_i|) - k(t, |x_i|, -|y_i|)) f(y_i) dy_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+, j)} \frac{2}{m} k(t, |x_i|, -|y_j|) f(y_j) dy_j. \end{aligned}$$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$Af(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}f''(x_i) - |x_i|f'(x_i), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

with Kirchhoff-type condition in zero encoded in the domain

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$Af(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}f''(x_i) - |x_i|f'(x_i), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

with Kirchhoff-type condition in zero encoded in the domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} \widetilde{W}_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_m) : \sum_{i=1}^m f'(0_i) = 0, Af \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m) \right\}.$$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$Af(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}f''(x_i) - |x_i|f'(x_i), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

with Kirchhoff-type condition in zero encoded in the domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} \widetilde{W}_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_m) : \sum_{i=1}^m f'(0_i) = 0, Af \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m) \right\}.$$

Remark:

- ▶ $m = 1$: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $[0, \infty)$ with Neumann boundary condition.

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $C_b(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$Af(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}f''(x_i) - |x_i|f'(x_i), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

with Kirchhoff-type condition in zero encoded in the domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} \widetilde{W}_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathcal{S}_m) : \sum_{i=1}^m f'(0_i) = 0, Af \in C_b(\mathcal{S}_m) \right\}.$$

Remark:

- ▶ $m = 1$: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $[0, \infty)$ with Neumann boundary condition.
- ▶ $m = 2$: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on \mathbb{R} .

The associated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

The associated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

From (1) one can see that associated semigroup is

The associated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

From (1) one can see that associated semigroup is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S_m(t)f)(x_i) &:= \\
 &\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1-e^{-2t})}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+, i)} \left(\exp[-(1-e^{-2t})^{-1}(e^{-t}|x_i| - |y_i|)^2] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \exp[-(1-e^{-2t})^{-1}(e^{-t}|x_i| + |y_i|)^2] \right) f(y_i) dy_i \\
 &+ \frac{2}{m\sqrt{\pi(1-e^{-2t})}} \times \\
 &\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+, j)} \exp[-(1-e^{-2t})^{-1}(e^{-t}|x_i| + |y_j|)^2] f(y_j) dy_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

The associated invariant measure

The associated invariant measure

The invariant measure of the OU-semigroup on \mathbb{R} is

The associated invariant measure

The invariant measure of the OU-semigroup on \mathbb{R} is

$$\mu(dx) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-|x|^2} dx.$$

The associated invariant measure

The invariant measure of the OU-semigroup on \mathbb{R} is

$$\mu(dx) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-|x|^2} dx.$$

Using the definition of \tilde{f}_i one deduces

The associated invariant measure

The invariant measure of the OU-semigroup on \mathbb{R} is

$$\mu(dx) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-|x|^2} dx.$$

Using the definition of \tilde{f}_i one deduces

$$\mu_m(dx_i) = \frac{2}{m\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-|x_i|^2} dx_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

is the unique invariant measure for $S_m(\cdot)$, i.e.

The associated invariant measure

The invariant measure of the OU-semigroup on \mathbb{R} is

$$\mu(dx) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-|x|^2} dx.$$

Using the definition of \tilde{f}_i one deduces

$$\mu_m(dx_i) = \frac{2}{m\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-|x_i|^2} dx_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

is the unique invariant measure for $S_m(\cdot)$, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+, i)} S_m(t) f(x_i) \mu_m(dx_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+, i)} f(x_i) \mu_m(dx_i).$$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

So, $S_m(\cdot)$ can be extended to a compact C_0 -semigroup on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$ ($= \bigoplus_{i=1}^m L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathbb{R}_+, i)$). Its generator A_2 is the $L^2_{\mu_m}$ -realization of A .

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

So, $S_m(\cdot)$ can be extended to a compact C_0 -semigroup on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$ ($= \bigoplus_{i=1}^m L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathbb{R}_+, i)$). Its generator A_2 is the $L^2_{\mu_m}$ -realization of A .
Using the theory of forms

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

So, $S_m(\cdot)$ can be extended to a compact C_0 -semigroup on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$ ($= \bigoplus_{i=1}^m L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathbb{R}_+, i)$). Its generator A_2 is the $L^2_{\mu_m}$ -realization of A . Using the theory of forms

$$D(A_2) = \left\{ f \in \widetilde{H^2_{\mu_m}}(\mathcal{S}_m) : f_i(0) = f_j(0), \forall i, j, \sum_{i=1}^m f'_i(0) = 0 \right\}$$

$$(A_2 f)_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} f''_i(x) - x f'_i(x), \quad f = (f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in D(A_2),$$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

So, $S_m(\cdot)$ can be extended to a compact C_0 -semigroup on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$ ($= \bigoplus_{i=1}^m L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathbb{R}_+, i)$). Its generator A_2 is the $L^2_{\mu_m}$ -realization of A . Using the theory of forms

$$D(A_2) = \left\{ f \in \widetilde{H^2_{\mu_m}}(\mathcal{S}_m) : f_i(0) = f_j(0), \forall i, j, \sum_{i=1}^m f'_i(0) = 0 \right\}$$

$$(A_2 f)_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} f''_i(x) - x f'_i(x), \quad f = (f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in D(A_2),$$

where

$$\widetilde{H^k_{\mu_m}}(\mathcal{S}_m) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H^k_{\mu_m}(\mathbb{R}_+, i), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The spectrum of A_2 for $m = 1, 2$

The spectrum of A_2 for $m = 1, 2$

- ▶ **Case $m=2$:** By Metafune-Pallara-Priola, JFA. 2002,

The spectrum of A_2 for $m = 1, 2$

- ▶ **Case $m=2$:** By Metafune-Pallara-Priola, JFA. 2002,

$$\sigma(A_2) = \sigma_p(A_2) = \{-k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The spectrum of A_2 for $m = 1, 2$

- ▶ **Case $m=2$:** By Metafune-Pallara-Priola, JFA. 2002,

$$\sigma(A_2) = \sigma_p(A_2) = \{-k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are the Hermite polynomials

$$H_k(x) := (-1)^k e^{|x|^2} D^k e^{-|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The spectrum of A_2 for $m = 1, 2$

- ▶ **Case $m=2$:** By Metafune-Pallara-Priola, JFA. 2002,

$$\sigma(A_2) = \sigma_p(A_2) = \{-k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are the Hermite polynomials

$$H_k(x) := (-1)^k e^{|x|^2} D^k e^{-|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

- ▶ **Case $m=1$:**

The spectrum of A_2 for $m = 1, 2$

- ▶ **Case $m=2$:** By Metafune-Pallara-Priola, JFA. 2002,

$$\sigma(A_2) = \sigma_p(A_2) = \{-k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are the Hermite polynomials

$$H_k(x) := (-1)^k e^{|x|^2} D^k e^{-|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

- ▶ **Case $m=1$:**

$$\sigma(A_2) = \sigma_p(A_2) = \{-2k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The spectrum of A_2 for $m = 1, 2$

- ▶ **Case $m=2$:** By Metafuno-Pallara-Priola, JFA. 2002,

$$\sigma(A_2) = \sigma_p(A_2) = \{-k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are the Hermite polynomials

$$H_k(x) := (-1)^k e^{|x|^2} D^k e^{-|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

- ▶ **Case $m=1$:**

$$\sigma(A_2) = \sigma_p(A_2) = \{-2k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

H_{2k} are the eigenfunctions.

The spectrum of A_2

¹even means $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$, $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, m$, odd means $f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) = 0$.

The spectrum of A_2

Consider the unitary operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$R : (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m) \mapsto (f_2, \dots, f_m, f_1).$$

¹even means $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$, $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, m$, odd means $f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) = 0$.

The spectrum of A_2

Consider the unitary operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$R : (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m) \mapsto (f_2, \dots, f_m, f_1).$$

Since $RA_2 = A_2R$, by the spectral theorem, eigenfunctions of $A_2 =$ eigenfunctions of R .

¹even means $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$, $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, m$, odd means $f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) = 0$.

The spectrum of A_2

Consider the unitary operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$R : (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m) \mapsto (f_2, \dots, f_m, f_1).$$

Since $RA_2 = A_2R$, by the spectral theorem, eigenfunctions of $A_2 =$ eigenfunctions of R .

Since $R^m = I$, the eigenvalues of R are

$$z^j := e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{m}}, j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

¹even means $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$, $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, m$, odd means $f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) = 0$.

The spectrum of A_2

Consider the unitary operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$R : (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m) \mapsto (f_2, \dots, f_m, f_1).$$

Since $RA_2 = A_2R$, by the spectral theorem, eigenfunctions of $A_2 =$ eigenfunctions of R .

Since $R^m = I$, the eigenvalues of R are

$$z^j := e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{m}}, j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

The corresponding j -th eigenspace is

¹even means $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$, $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, m$, odd means $f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) = 0$.

The spectrum of A_2

Consider the unitary operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$R : (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m) \mapsto (f_2, \dots, f_m, f_1).$$

Since $RA_2 = A_2R$, by the spectral theorem, eigenfunctions of $A_2 =$ eigenfunctions of R .

Since $R^m = I$, the eigenvalues of R are

$$z^j := e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{m}}, j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

The corresponding j -th eigenspace is

$$E_j := (1, z^j, z^{2j}, \dots, z^{j(m-1)}) \otimes L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

¹even means $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$, $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, m$, odd means $f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) = 0$.

The spectrum of A_2

Consider the unitary operator on $L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m)$

$$R : (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m) \mapsto (f_2, \dots, f_m, f_1).$$

Since $RA_2 = A_2R$, by the spectral theorem, eigenfunctions of $A_2 =$ eigenfunctions of R .

Since $R^m = I$, the eigenvalues of R are

$$z^j := e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{m}}, j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

The corresponding j -th eigenspace is

$$E_j := (1, z^j, z^{2j}, \dots, z^{j(m-1)}) \otimes L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Note $E_0 = L^2_{\text{even}}$ and $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m-1} E_j = L^2_{\text{odd}}$.¹

¹even means $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$, $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, m$, odd means $f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) = 0$.

The spectrum of A_2

The spectrum of A_2

Oddness induces, by $0 = \sum_{i=1}^m f(0_i) = mf(0)$, Dirichlet boundary conditions at 0.

The spectrum of A_2

Oddness induces, by $0 = \sum_{i=1}^m f(0_i) = mf(0)$, Dirichlet boundary conditions at 0.

$$\sigma(A|_{E_j}) = \{-2k - 1 : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, \forall j = 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

The spectrum of A_2

Oddness induces, by $0 = \sum_{i=1}^m f(0_i) = mf(0)$, Dirichlet boundary conditions at 0.

$$\sigma(A|_{E_j}) = \{-2k - 1 : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, \forall j = 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

Therefore,

Theorem:

The spectrum of A_2

Oddness induces, by $0 = \sum_{i=1}^m f(0_i) = mf(0)$, Dirichlet boundary conditions at 0.

$$\sigma(A|_{E_j}) = \{-2k - 1 : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, \forall j = 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

Therefore,

Theorem:

$$\sigma(A_2) = \{-k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

where all even eigenvalues have multiplicity 1, whereas all odd eigenvalues have multiplicity $m - 1$.

The harmonic oscillator

The harmonic oscillator

Harmonic oscillator:

$$Bf(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} (f''(x_i) - |x_i|^2 f(x_i) + f(x_i)), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

The harmonic oscillator

Harmonic oscillator:

$$Bf(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} (f''(x_i) - |x_i|^2 f(x_i) + f(x_i)), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Define the isometry

$$T : L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{S}_m)$$
$$f \mapsto (\sqrt{c_m} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} f_i),$$

The harmonic oscillator

Harmonic oscillator:

$$Bf(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} (f''(x_i) - |x_i|^2 f(x_i) + f(x_i)), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Define the isometry

$$\begin{aligned} T : L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m) &\rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{S}_m) \\ f &\mapsto (\sqrt{c_m} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} f_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_m := \frac{2}{m\sqrt{\pi}}$. Thus,

The harmonic oscillator

Harmonic oscillator:

$$Bf(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} (f''(x_i) - |x_i|^2 f(x_i) + f(x_i)), \quad |x_i| \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Define the isometry

$$T : L^2_{\mu_m}(\mathcal{S}_m) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{S}_m)$$

$$f \mapsto (\sqrt{c_m} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} f_i),$$

where $c_m := \frac{2}{m\sqrt{\pi}}$. Thus,

$$B_2 = TA_2T^{-1}.$$

The harmonic oscillator

The harmonic oscillator

The generator B_2 of the harmonic oscillator semigroup $U_m(t) = TS_m(\cdot)T^{-1}$ on $L^2(\mathcal{S}_m)$ is given by

$$D(B_2) = \left\{ f \in \widetilde{H}^2(\mathcal{S}_m) : f_i(0) = f_j(0), \forall i, j, \sum_{i=1}^m f_i'(0) = 0 \right\}$$

$$(B_2 f)_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f_i''(x) - x^2 f_i(x) + f_i(x)), \text{ for } f = (f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in D(B_2).$$

The harmonic oscillator

The generator B_2 of the harmonic oscillator semigroup $U_m(t) = TS_m(\cdot)T^{-1}$ on $L^2(\mathcal{S}_m)$ is given by

$$D(B_2) = \left\{ f \in \widetilde{H}^2(\mathcal{S}_m) : f_i(0) = f_j(0), \forall i, j, \sum_{i=1}^m f_i'(0) = 0 \right\}$$

$$(B_2 f)_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f_i''(x) - x^2 f_i(x) + f_i(x)), \text{ for } f = (f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in D(B_2).$$

Moreover,

$$\sigma(B_2) = \{-k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

where all even eigenvalues have multiplicity 1, whereas all odd eigenvalues have multiplicity $m - 1$.

Many thanks and stay healthy